	В.	Are potentially counseling, and as feasible?	surplus employees given opportunity	given preliminary for retraining and	notice, provided /or reassignment
ILLEGIB		yes		no	
	С.	Have all employed their rights und	ees been made aware ler them?	of the surplus pr	ocedures and of
		yes		no	
XVI	. OBJI	ECTIVE: Establis	sh a uniform grievan	nce procedure with	in the Directorate.
	Α.	Does the Career non-EEO issues?	Service have a form	nal grievance proc	edure to handle
		yes		no	
	В.	Does the Career understands his	Service grievance prights as a federa	procedure ensure t   employee?	hat the employee
		yes		no	•
	С.		Service grievance pof employees seeking		
		yes		no	
	D.	Has the Career S grievance proces	Service promulgated dures?	amplifying instru	ctions on its
		yes		no	
P	ROBLEM	AREAS			
		escribe any obsta ersonnel approach	icles you have encounes.	untered in impleme	nting the new
N	OTES				
	TI to	his space is avai o the 16 objectiv	ilable for explaininges.	ng, as desired, an	y answer relating

	В.	Have a	all true mats been j	anagerial p dentified?	ositions	and their	most signif	icant	functiona
			yes			no			
XII		JECTIVE: i rotati	Provide onal tours	policy to	facilitat	e inter-D	irectorate t	ransfer	rs
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Α.	Has th are no	e Career S t discourá	Service pub iged from r	licized a equesting	policy to	ensure that gnments, if	: emplo	yees
<i>!</i> * :			yes			no		4	164.
	В.	Has yo assign	ur PDP inv ments? yes	olved the	use of int	ļ—-I	Service dev	elopme	ntal
	С.	Has the	Career S	ervice nego	tiated wi	no	Career Servi	cac in	andan
		mutua]]		tify positi	ons to be	used for	Career Servi development	al pur	poses?
XIII.	וו מח		yes			no			
LEGIB		ECTIVE: or and m	Establish Berit award	n procedure ds.	s and pro	vide guida	ince for reco	mmendi	ing
LEGIB	Α.	Has the	Career Se h recommen	ervice prom idations?	ulgated a	mplifying	guidelines o	n poli	icy
			yes			no	*		
	В.	Where a honors	pplicable and awards	does the Carlottated	areer Serv Dy Career	rice revie Sub-Group	w recommenda s?	tions	for
			yes			no			
XIV.	OBJE in P	CTIVE: ersonal	Provide a Rank Assi	system for gnments to	the annu	al review rrective	of supergradaction when a	de per needed	sonnel
	Does	the Ser	nior Person		res Roard		designee rev		
			yes			no			
XV.	couns	CTIVE: seling, urplus s		rocedures t tion for re	o handle   training a	ootential and/or rea	surplus case ssignment, a	s, inc	luding ification
	A. F	lave spe mployee	cific proc s?	edures beer	n develope	ed to iden	tify potenti	al sur	plus
			/ yes			no	•		

	•	·	
	IX.	OBJECTIVE: Establish Directorate standards for selecting candidates to attend senior schools or coursesgiving consideration to how the trainees will be utilized afterwards.	
ILLEGIB		A. Have Directorate-wide standards for senior schools been established?  yes  no	
		B. Is the selection of candidates based upon the PDP?	
		yes no	
		C. Are employees notified of the basis for selection?	
		yes no	
	مدل	D. Are the post-training assignments of attendees to senior schools identified and made known to the employee before training is undertaken?	
		never seldom usually always.	,
	х.	OBJECTIVE: Establish Directorate policy and standards for approving external full-time and part-time training	
		A. Has the Career Service established policy and standards for approving external training?	
		yes no	
		B. At what level is approval for such training?	
		Division Office Career Service Branch	
		C. Have Career Service guidelines been promulgated?	
		yes no IL	LEGIB
		D. Is external training approval meshed with PDP?	
ILLEGIB		yes no	
		E. Do Evaluation boards/panels make training recommendations or indicate training deficiencies to supervisors?	
		yes no	
	XI.	OBJECTIVE: Establish minimum training standards for managerial positions and for occupational positions and groups when training is considered significant for job performance and employee development.	
		A. Has the Career Service initiated action prepare to developmental pro- files where appropriate (PDP)?	
		Approved For Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-05343A000100100008-8	

С	Have members of the Care counselors they could go assistance and guidance?	er Service been told the names of the employee to on their own initiative to seek job
	yes	no no yes
D	. Are low-ranking employee	s receiving counseling?
,	yes	no
E.	<ul> <li>Does the Career Service of employees on a system</li> </ul>	counseling program provide for the counseling atic basis?
	yes	no
F.	Have those persons design training for that role?	ated as "counselors" been given any specific
	yes	no
G.	Do the Career Service Sub	-Groups have a separate counseling program?
OB. gra imp	olement the personnel polic	groups below Directorate level on either a , basis, which for their members, will y guidances and instructions of the Directorate
Α.	Have "groups" been organize their members, would imple instructions of the Direct	ement the personnel policy guidances and corate"?
	yes	no no
В.	Have the former office-lev career sub-groups?	el career services been designated as
	yes	no not applicable
C.	Have any non-office level sequent to the approval of	"Career Sub-Groups" been organized sub- this objective?
	yes	no
D.	If not, does the Career Seisuch "Sub-Groups"?	rvice anticipate the organization of any
	yes	no
Ε.	If yes, specify the kind of	Sub-Group contemplated.

ILLEGIB

VIII.

	В.	Are the results the information	reported so th in making deci	at the Deputy (or equivolence signs relative to his	uivalent) may use s APP and PDP?
		yes		no	
٧.		ECTIVE: Establi	sh Directorate	personnel objectives	(through MBO,
	Α.	The Career Serv	ice has explici	t personnel managemen	nt objectives.
	В.			tives are reviewed by	y the Deputy
		yes		no	
	C.	Progress in mee Deputy (equival	ting objectives ent).	is monitored period	ically by the
		yes		no	
VI.		ECTIVE: Establi ergrade personne		d criteria for the co	areer management of
	Α.	Special criteriagement of supe	a have been est rgrade personne	ablished for the eva	luation and man-
		yes yes		no	
	В.	Supergrade rank	ings are consol	idated at the Career	Service level.
		yes		no	
	С.	In conjunction are provided ca	with the PDP, su reer counseling	pergrades and candidate and placement.	ate supergrades
		yes		no	
	D.			res for recommending within and without	
		yes		no	
VII.		ECTIVE: Create nseling source.	a Directorate-w	ride counseling progra	am and a visible
	Α.	Has a formal Ca	reer Service-wi	de counseling program	m been instituted?
		yes		no	
	В.	Has the Career program?	Service informe	d its employees abou	t its counseling
		yes		no	
		Approved Fo	r Kelease 2001/08/	'09 : CIA-RDP78-05343A0	JU100100008-8

	•	6. How o	ften are evaluations co	nducted?		
		, [	Annually	Semiann	ually	
		7. Have	employees been notified	who their evalua	ting officers are?	
			yes	no		
		8. Do the performance of the per	e panels use rating sca rmance, and potential?	les for evaluatio	n of qualifications,	
		<u> </u>	yes	no		
III.	tha	ECTIVE: Pr t will ider se in betwe	rovide the evaluation patify employees with the	anels with unifor e highest and lea	m ranking criteria st potential and	
	Α.	Has the Capromotion	reer Service identifie criteria) applicable t	d uniform ranking hroughout the Car	criteria (not eer Service?	
			yes	☐ no		
	В.	Has the Ca criteria	reer Service provided sed to rank employees	for the systemati to verify their v	c study of the alidity?	
			yes	no no		
	С.	Does the C to measure	areer Service have exp employee career potent	ressly stated cri tial?	teria designed	
	*		yes	no		
7	D.	Has the Ca constitute	reer Service establishe s "the lowest ranking e	ed a definition exemployee(s)"?	xplaining what	
	بمنهج اسمع		yes	no		
	Ε.	Has the Ca having the	reer Service establishe lowest ranking will ha	ed a procedure to eve this fact made	e known to them"?	LEOID
			yes	no	performan Lead	LEGIB
	F.	When Caree the employ Groups?	r Sub-Groups are involv ee ranking technique an	red does the Caree od procedure used	er Service review	
			yes	no	not applicable	
IV.		ECTIVE: Privities and	ovide for periodic revi results.	ew by the Deputy	of evaluation	•
	Α.	Procedures evaluation	have been established activities.	to give the Deput	y a report of	•
		Apphoved \$	ortRelease 2001/08/09/a CIA	-RDP78505343A0001	<b>90100008</b> 98arterly	

			Approved For Release 20	001/08/09 : CIA-RDP 75-05343A000100100008-8
II.	to	sonne	ct, at least annually,	riate panel structure and procedure the evaluation and ranking of professional
	Α.	Has rank	the Career Service esta all professional perso	blished boards/panels to evaluate and nnel?
			yes	no
		1.	If not, has the Career establishment of such p	Service set a target date for the anels?
			yes	no
		2.	anch amployed is proper	reviewed its membership to ensure that ly identified according to sub-category onal, technical, or clerical?
,			yes	no
		3.	technical and clerical	established panels to evaluate and rank employees?
			yes (technical)	no (technical) $\square$ yes (clerical) $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ no (clerical)
		4.	If not does the Caree	r Service anticipate providing a structure ranking of such employees?
		الرد	2 / yes	no
	В.	In fol	lowing questions.	aluation procedures, please answer the
		1.	Do the evaluation boar made by supervisors?	ds/panels review promotion recommendations
			yes	no
		2.	Do they make promotion	recommendations?
			yes	no
		3.	Does inadequate time-i	n-grade make an employee ineligible for tion?
			yes	no
		4.	What is the term of o	ffice of panel members?
				No. of years.
		5.	Is it required that particle of employees be	nnel members be of higher grade than the ing evaluated?
			Approved For Release 20	001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-05343A000100100008-8

		Approved For Relea 2001/08/09: CIA-RDP78-05343A000100100008-8  SURVEY OF PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING THE NEW PERSONNEL APPROACHES*
•		Career Service
I.	OBJI	ECTIVE: Develop and disseminate uniform promotion criteria.
	Α.	Does the Career Service presently have uniform promotion criteria?
		yes v no
		1. If yes, how long has it had them?
		weeks months years (Underline one)
P	C,	<ol> <li>If no, has a target date been set for completing the develop- ment of uniform promotion criteria?</li> </ol>
1		☐ yes ☐ no
,		3. Where Career Sub-Groups are involved has the Career Service reviewed the promotion criteria of these sub-groups?
		yes no
		4. Where Career Sub-Groups are involved has the Career Service determined what, if any, promotion criteria must be used without modification or exception by all sub-groups?
		□ yes □ no ∪ com
	В.	Has the Career Service examined and reaffirmed the value of its promotion policies and criteria?
		☐ yes ☐ no ?
	C.	Have all Career Service and (as applicable) Career Sub-Group board/panel members been given appropriate promotion policy and promotion criteria information?
		yes no
		Have all members of the Career Service been informed with respect to Career Service and (as applicable) Career Sub-Group promotion policies and promotion criteria as it applies to their individual grade and occupational category?
		yes no

Response to questions should reflect the Career Service situation as of 30 September 1974.